

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,792	03/03/2004	Sang-cheol Ko	102-1019	4382
38209 759	90 11/28/2006		EXAMINER	
STANZIONE & KIM, LLP			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
919 18TH STRE SUITE 440	EET, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20006		1725	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~			5
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/790,792	KO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725	
The MAN INO DATE - SAL's			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, at lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(il NOTICE OF APPEAL	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply oright ter than three months after the mailing di	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	consideration and/or search (see NC low); etter form for appeal by materially re	OTE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(· ——		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worded below or appended.	rill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11 \(\sigma\) The request for reconsideration has been considered t	nut does NOT place the application.	in condition for allowar	nce because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

M. Alexandra Elve Primary Examiner 1725 Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that reasons for the restriction were not given. The examiner respectfully disagrees and directs the applicant to the final office action (9/2/06). Applicant argues that the 112 2nd paragraph is not appropriate, the examiner respectfully disagrees because having "flown in the wafer" is indefinite. Applicant argues that Richerzhagen is not analogous art. The examiner respectfully disagrees because Richerzhagen and Yamamoto are both directed to laser machining.